Rapid Assessment of Reward-Related Eating: The RED-X5
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Objective: The prevalence of obesity has created a plethora of questionnaires characterizing psychological aspects of eating behavior, such as reward-related eating (RRE). The Reward-based Eating Drive questionnaires (RED-9, RED-13) broadly and deeply assess the RRE construct. However, large-sample research designs require shorter questionnaires that capture RRE quickly and precisely. This study sought to develop a brief, reliable, and valid version of the RED questionnaire.

Methods: All-subset correlation was used to find a subset that maximally associated with the full RED-13 in two separate samples. Results were validated in a third independent sample. Internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and ability to explain variance in external outcomes were also assessed.

Results: A five-item questionnaire (RED-X5) correlated strongly with RED-13 in the independent sample ($r = 0.95$). RED-X5 demonstrated high internal consistency (omega total ≥ 0.80) and 6-month test-retest reliability ($r = 0.72$). RED-X5 accurately reproduced known associations between RED-13 and BMI, diabetes status, and craving for sweet and savory foods. As a novel finding, RED questionnaires predicted laboratory intake of chips.

Conclusions: RED-X5 is a short, reliable, and valid measure of the RRE construct and can be readily implemented in large-sample research designs in which questionnaire space is limited.


Introduction

Obesity accounts for more than 28% of annual US health care spending and affects nearly 40% of US adults (1,2). The rising prevalence of obesity is at least partially attributable to the modern food environment, which is replete with foods engineered to be highly palatable (rewarding) and thus overconsumed (3-5). Indeed, studies of US adult populations have highlighted the common experience of difficulty controlling the eating of highly rewarding foods (6-8).

This difficulty can be captured by a plethora of self-report measures that assess reward-related eating (RRE), defined as eating driven by the rewarding and relieving aspects of highly palatable food (9), and uncontrolled eating (UE), the tendency to eat more than necessary because of a loss of control over intake (10). Both RRE (11,12) and UE (13) correlate with BMI. The constructs of RRE and UE share significant overlap, as individuals are more prone to experience loss of control over eating in the presence of highly rewarding food, which is frequent in the modern obesogenic environment. Most questionnaires that assess UE and RRE tend to be lengthy, ranging from 9 items (Reward-based Eating Drive Scale [RED-9]) (11) to 51 items (Three Factor Eating Questionnaire [TFEQ]) (14). RRE and UE appear useful in explaining psychological processes that correlate with and predict BMI and food intake (15,16), and RRE was recently highlighted as a core psychosocial measure for obesity treatment trials (Accumulating Data to Optimally Predict Obesity Treatment initiative) (15). We therefore sought to develop a brief version of the RED scale (RED-X) that would maximally capture variability in these overlapping constructs and that could be used in large panel studies in which space and time are limited.

The RED scale is one of the many self-report measures of eating behavior that tap into RRE and UE. The questionnaires exist in 9- and 13-item formats (11,12). The RED scale assesses the following three related constructs: lack of control over eating, lack of satiety, and preoccupation with food. The scale includes items based on existing questionnaires, namely the Binge Eating Scale (17) and the TFEQ (14), as well
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as newly developed items. RED-9 scores correlate with BMI cross-sectionally and predict change in BMI over time (11). Recent data showed that weight loss interventions may lead to weight loss via reductions in RRE as indexed by the RED-9 (18). Additionally, higher RED-9 scores were associated with greater daily craving intensity; however, on days when participants received a medication that dampened cravings (naltrexone), this association was reduced (19).

When shortening the RED scale, the short version cannot capture the full construct as well as RED-13 (12). This is called the bandwidth-fidelity dilemma (20,21). In that dilemma, the desire to maximize construct coverage (bandwidth) is hampered by practical limits of questionnaire length. Such limits force the questionnaire developers to focus on measurement precision (fidelity) on a certain part of the continuum. For RED-X, a typical use case will be correlating the short form with other anthropometric, psychological, or genetic variables. As most people are likely to fall in the middle ranges of the scale, the RED-X will maximally discriminate among people in the middle spectrum of RRE. We therefore opted for an all-subset correlation method (22), as the brief scale should maximally recreate the normal distribution established with its longer version.

This report summarizes the data-driven process we employed to develop a shortened version of the RED scale (RED-X). We used multiple techniques to ensure that the RED-X maintained good measurement properties, related well to multiple external criteria, and maximally discriminated among people in the normal distribution. To do so while maximizing generalizability across contexts, we used data sets collected both online (Mechanical Turk [MTurk]; Amazon, Seattle, Washington) as well as in person (US community sample of employees at a major university and Canadian undergraduates at a major university). Additionally, to maximize external validity, we used variables associated with long-term health outcomes, such as in-laboratory eating behavior, diabetes status, BMI, and food cravings (12,23,24).  

**Methods**

**Participants**

Participant data were drawn from the following four data sets: (1) an MTurk sample (Web1, n = 349), (2) a second MTurk sample (Web2, n = 346), (3) a US community sample (US community, n = 106) of adults who completed an in-person survey, and (4) a Canadian university sample (n = 165 total, n = 51 for the in-laboratory sample who completed the laboratory-based eating procedure).

**Procedures**

In studies for samples Web1, Web2, and US community, the University of California, San Francisco, Institutional Review Board approved of all procedures, and all participants provided written informed consent. Surveys were administered through the Research Electronic Data Capture (RedCap) survey system (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee) (25). Further details on samples Web1 and Web2 can be found in Mason et al. (12). The US community sample (n = 106) was collected in the context of an ongoing change in University of California, San Francisco, food service policies. Participants completed the items as part of a baseline survey battery and then again 6 months later.

The Canadian sample was collected as part of a larger brain imaging and transcranial magnetic stimulation study on self-control. Participants responding to an online advertisement underwent a phone screening with the following criteria: they needed to live in Canada for most of their lives (to ensure sufficient and a similar amount of exposure to the food stimuli presented to them during the experiment among participants); they should be trying to lose or maintain their weight and/or practicing healthy eating; they should not be on any diet that restricts certain foods (e.g., vegetarians); no pregnancy; no use of recreational drugs; no medications that may affect brain functioning (contraceptives were allowed); no physical, neurological, or psychiatric illness; no personal or family history of epilepsy; no metals in the body, including permanent braces; and no claustrophobia. A total of 165 participants who passed the screening procedure filled out online questionnaires, which included RED-18 (a preliminary version of RED-13) (12), and self-reported height and weight. Based on the score on RED-18, only those classified as “high self-control” (below 0.5 SD in RED-18) and “low self-control” (above 0.5 SD in RED-18) were selected to participate in the follow-up experiment. The extreme-groups design was used to maximize the potential to observe group differences in the brain imaging study. The experiment was approved by the Montreal Neurological Institute Research Ethics Board and entailed functional magnetic resonance imaging, transcranial magnetic stimulation, electroencephalography, and an in-laboratory food intake. Note that the transcranial magnetic stimulation sessions were performed after the survey data were collected. The brain imaging procedures will be described in a future publication.

**Measures**

Participants completed self-report measures (all samples) and a laboratory-based eating task (Canadian university sample only).

- **Candidate item pool.** We considered candidate items from RED-13, an extension of RED-9 (12). RED-13 includes four additional items identified as candidates to expand the scale (which all maintained at least a 0.45 correlation with the RED-9 general factor). The items are shown in Table 1. RED-13 comprises items from the following sources:
  - **RED-9.** RED-9 assesses the following three dimensions of reward-related eating: loss of control over eating, lack of satiety, and preoccupation with food. Of the nine items, two items originate in the Binge Eating Scale (17), four items originate in the TFEQ (14), and three items were developed for this scale. Sample items include, “When I start eating, I just can’t seem to stop” (lack of control), “I don’t get full easily” (lack of satiety), and “Food is always on my mind” (preoccupation with food). Participants answered on a 5-point scale (1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree). Total scores for this sample were computed by averaging all items. Higher scores reflect higher reward-based eating drive.
  - **TFEQ.** The 51-item TFEQ comprises three subscales. The 20-item cognitive restraint subscale assesses conscious mechanisms for restraining food intake. The 20-item disinhibition subscale assesses abilities to control one’s eating. The 15-item hunger subscale assesses hunger and its behavioral consequences.
  - **Yale Food Addiction Scale (26).** The 25-item Yale Food Addiction Scale assesses food addiction symptoms based on the seven symptoms of substance dependence articulated in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (Fourth Edition).*
TABLE 1 Item pool (RED-13)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Subscale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I feel out of control in the presence of delicious food</td>
<td>RED1</td>
<td>LOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When I start eating, I just can’t seem to stop</td>
<td>RED2</td>
<td>LOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If it difficult for me to leave food on my plate</td>
<td>RED3</td>
<td>LOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When it comes to foods I love, I have no willpower</td>
<td>RED4</td>
<td>LOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I get so hungry that my stomach often feels like a bottomless pit</td>
<td>RED5</td>
<td>LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I don’t get full easily</td>
<td>RED6</td>
<td>LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It seems like most of my waking hours are preoccupied with thoughts about eating or not eating</td>
<td>RED7</td>
<td>PWF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have days when I can’t seem to think about anything else but food</td>
<td>RED8</td>
<td>PWF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food is always on my mind</td>
<td>RED9</td>
<td>PWF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel hungry all the time</td>
<td>TFEQ39</td>
<td>LOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I find myself continuing to consume certain foods even though I am no longer hungry</td>
<td>YFAS2</td>
<td>LOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I can’t stop thinking about eating no matter how hard I try</td>
<td>FCQTR10</td>
<td>PWF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If food tastes good to me, I eat more than usual</td>
<td>DEBO2</td>
<td>LOC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Item in final RED-X6.
- RED, Reward-based Eating Drive Scale; TFEQ, Three Factor Eating Questionnaire; YFAS, Yale Food Addiction Scale; FCQ, Food Craving Questionnaire, Trait, Reduced; DEBQ, Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire; LOC, loss of control; PWF, preoccupation with food; LOS, lack of satiety.

(e.g., withdrawal, tolerance, continued use despite problems) (27). Participants respond on scoring schemes that include dichotomous and frequency scoring (e.g., ranging from never to four or more times daily).

- Food Craving Questionnaire, Trait, Reduced (28). The 15-item Food Craving Questionnaire, Trait, Reduced, assesses (1) preoccupation with food, i.e., obsessive thoughts about food and eating; (2) loss of control over eating, i.e., difficulty regulating eating behavior when exposed to food cues; (3) positive outcome expectancy, i.e., believing that eating is positively reinforcing; and (4) emotional craving, i.e., the tendency to crave food when experiencing high levels of emotion. Items are answered on a 6-point scale from 1 (never) to 6 (always). In this study, all items were responded to on a scale from 1 (not at all strong/not at all) to 100 (extremely strong/extremely often). We computed total scores for each subscale as the mean of items for that scale, with higher scores indicating stronger or greater craving.

- Laboratory-based eating behavior. In the Canadian study, the food intake procedure was conducted on day 1 of the experiment following brain imaging and at least 4 hours of fasting. Participants were offered a bowl of Lays potato chips of their favorite flavor for a period of 30 minutes while they filled out other questionnaires not analyzed here. They were not told that their chip consumption would be recorded. Potato chip consumption was measured in grams by weighing the bowl before and after the session.

- Type 2 diabetes. Participants in the Web2 sample self-reported whether they had been diagnosed with type 2 diabetes by a medical professional.

- Demographics and anthropometrics. Participants reported their age (years), biological sex, educational attainment, race and/or ethnicity, and total annual household income. We computed BMI from self-reported height and weight (Table 2).

Analytic plan

We conducted all analyses in Microsoft R Open (version 3.5.1; Microsoft, Redmond, Washington) using 2018.08.01 version of psych (version 1.18.10; Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois), tidyverse (The R Foundation, Vienna, Austria), and cowplot (The R Foundation) packages. We drew items from the 13-item set (RED-13), which has been established as largely unidimensional but also as having three strongly related subdimensions in a previous analysis (12).

Variable preparation. We residualized BMI and self-reported cravings for age, gender, race, education, and household income in Web samples. We residualized self-reported type 2 diabetes for age and gender, as the disease was not present in all subcategories of race, education, and income. We residualized BMI and potato chips consumed for gender in the Canadian university sample (several demographic variables were not collected in the Canadian university sample, as it was relatively homogenous).

Statistical models. We opted for the all-subset correlation method of scale shortening because of its simplicity as well as its alignment with our goal of developing a scale that maximally discriminates between respondents in a normal distribution (22). In other words, we intended for the newly developed short scale to maximally correlate with the full-length scale (RED-13). We determined the number of items of the RED-X by plotting the number of items on the x-axis and maximum and mean correlations within each item set with RED-13 on the y-axis. For instance, there were 1,287 possible combinations of a five-item...
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The sum scores of all these combinations were correlated with RED-13, and the maximum and mean correlation of five-item RED-Xs were added to the plot. The same procedure was repeated for 1- to 12-item versions of the RED-X. After the plot was complete, we looked for the “elbow” in the emerging brevity-correlation trade-off, the point at which adding one more item would not add as much extra correlation strength as in the previous step. Because of occasional possible measurement errors when applying the instrument, we added one item to that elbow point. Once we established the desired number of items, we chose the item subset that had the maximum correlation with RED-13 and had at least one item from each subscale, so the RED-X was conceptually as close as possible to the original RED-9 scale.

We conducted all subset correlation analyses in parallel using Web1 and Web2 samples and averaged the correlation values to obtain a more stable estimate. The final RED-X correlation with RED-13 was validated in the Canadian student sample to achieve an unbiased estimate of the correlation between short form and full form. We also present the correlations between the RED-X and other forms of RED questionnaires across the four samples. The latter included RED-9 and RED-13 versions, which did not have RED-X items, providing an estimate that avoided correlating the same items among themselves. We assessed internal consistency with McDonald’s total omega and Cronbach alpha, as provided by “omega()” function within the psych R package. As alpha relies on more assumptions than omega (31), we primarily report omega total. We assessed test-retest reliability in the US community sample by using zero-order correlations between RED-9 and the short version. We validated the final RED-X against BMI and external behaviors, such as laboratory-based eating behavior, self-reported eating behavior, and diabetes status. We also compared RED-X effect sizes with RED-9 and RED-13.

To mimic a typical use case, we computed Pearson correlations between the sum-scores of three versions of RED and residualized outcomes.

Results

We generated 8,191 possible subsets from the RED-13 items. Figure 1 summarizes the maximum and mean correlations that RED-13 demonstrated with each subset. Depicted curves suggested that four or five

| TABLE 2 Participant characteristics for all studies |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                                             | MTurk 1     | MTurk 2       | US community (baseline/follow-up) | Canadian university students (online/in lab) |
| n                                           | 349         | 346           | 106/94                   | 165/51                      |
| Age, y, mean (SD)                           | 34.23 (10.6) | 35.43 (11.04) | 42.34 (11.82)           | -                           |
| BMI, mean (SD)                              | 26.4 (6.72)  | 25.65 (6.6)   | 29.06 (6.66) / 29.08 (6.44) | 22.6 (3.15)                 |
| Race, n (%)                                 |             |               |                          |                             |
| White                                       | 231 (66.2)  | 243 (70.2)    | 28 (26.42)               | -                           |
| Black                                       | 15 (43.0)   | 26 (7.5)      | 13 (12.26)              | -                           |
| Asian/Pacific Islander                      | 64 (18.3)   | 41 (11.9)     | 32 (30.19)              | -                           |
| Hispanic/Latino                             | 25 (7.2)    | 25 (7.2)      | 15 (14.15)              | -                           |
| Native American/Alaska Native               | 2 (0.6)     | 2 (0.6)       | -                       | -                           |
| Mixed race                                  | 2 (0.6)     | 9 (2.6)       | 18 (16.98)              | -                           |
| Declined response                           | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)       | 0 (0.0)                 | -                           |
| Education, n (%)                            |             |               |                          |                             |
| Some high school                            | 35 (10)     | 1 (0.3)       | -                       | -                           |
| High school diploma                         | 77 (22.1)   | 42 (12.1)     | -                       | -                           |
| Some college                                | -           | 100 (28.9)    | -                       | -                           |
| Associate’s degree                          | 45 (12.9)   | 33 (9.5)      | -                       | -                           |
| Bachelor’s degree                           | 150 (43.0)  | 137 (39.6)    | -                       | -                           |
| Advanced degree (MA, MS, MD, PhD, JD)       | 40 (11.5)   | 32 (9.25)     | -                       | -                           |
| No schooling                                | 2 (0.6)     | 1 (0.3)       | -                       | -                           |
| Female, n (%)                               | 137 (39.26) | 167 (48.27)   | 60 (56.6)               | 106 (64.24)                 |
| RED-9, mean (SD)                            | 2.62 (0.89) | 2.68 (0.85)   | 1.99 (0.81)/2.96 (0.82) | 2.22 (0.78)                 |
| RED-13, mean (SD)                           | 2.66 (0.83) | 2.73 (0.79)   | 2.25 (0.74)             | -                           |
| Type 2 diabetes, n (%)                      | -           | 19 (5.49)     | -                       | -                           |
| CoEQ craving for sweet foods, mean (SD)     | -           | 44.97 (21.94) | -                       | -                           |
| CoEQ craving for savory foods, mean (SD)    | -           | 50.28 (19.21) | -                       | -                           |
| Chips consumed, g, mean (SD)                | -           | -             | 59.41 (39.52)           | -                           |

Of 106 participants in the longitudinal US community sample, 94 provided follow-up data. In that sample, data for gender and age are missing for one participant. BMI and RED-9 are reported for both baseline and 6 months later. Of 165 participants in the Canadian university sample, 51 completed the laboratory-based eating behavior assessment (chips consumed).

RED-9, Reward-based Eating Drive Scale, 9 item; CoEQ, Control of Eating Questionnaire; MTurk, Mechanical Turk.
items offered the best trade-off between questionnaire length and additional explanatory power gained per extra item. We opted for five items rather than four to maximize reliability. We chose the top performing five-item subset (henceforth, RED-X5) from the pool of five-item subsets that included items from each subscale (Table 1). Figure 1 depicts the correlations between RED-13 and the final RED-X5 within two Web data sets and independent replication in the Canadian data set.

Figure 2 depicts the correlations between RED-X5 and the two other validated forms of RED (RED-9, RED-13) in all four data sets. Even when we excluded the RED-X5 items from RED-9 and RED-13, the correlations remained high in all samples, suggesting that RED-X5 captured the same construct as longer versions. RED-X5 had strong internal consistency and unidimensionality, as suggested by omega total estimates greater than or equal to 0.80 (Figure 3). Cronbach alpha estimates had a maximum 0.005 absolute difference from omega total estimates. Test-retest reliability over 6 months assessed in the US community sample was \( r = 0.77 \) for RED-9 and \( r = 0.72 \) for RED-X5.

Figure 4 depicts the correlations between RED-X5, RED-9, RED-13, and external outcomes in two Web samples and the Canadian sample. In all cases, the correlations between RED-X5 and the external outcomes were within standard errors (SE) of the estimates of longer versions of RED. Although most of these correlations have been documented elsewhere (12), this manuscript is the first to document an association between versions of the RED scale and laboratory food intake.

Discussion

We created RED-X5, a reliable five-item measure of reward-related eating. We developed RED-X5 by evaluating the length-fidelity trade-off of each possible item combination of RED-13. The final RED-X5 scale correlates well with full measures of RRE as well as diverse external outcomes. We validated these associations in an external data set that did not inform the item selection process. We established RED-X5 to have similar test-retest reliability over 6 months as other measures of personality and eating behaviors (32,33). Therefore, RED-X5 is a reliable measure of RRE for use when the brevity in assessment is particularly important, such as in panel and population studies.

Our results highlight that the RRE construct is associated with snacking on highly palatable foods (potato chips). Previous studies have demonstrated associations between consumption of food in the laboratory and several eating-related traits (16). We found that RED-X5 is moderately associated with laboratory intake of a highly palatable food, which implicates the RRE construct as a predictor of food consumption.

Our study has both strengths and limitations. In their seminal critique of scale-shortening efforts, Smith et al. (34) listed various sins of scale shortening. We believe that we avoided most of the sins, as we have reestablished the internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and external validity of RED-X5. The items for RED-X5 were...
chosen based on two data sets, and we validated the resultant scale in a third data set that did not inform the item selection process. RED-X5 comprises items from the original RED-9, and thus RED-X5 shares significant overlap with the core RED measure. However, RED-X5 correlates with longer RED measures, even when RED-X5 items are excluded. The short version also maintained its ability to associate with various external criteria. While RED-X5 has not been tested with the eating in absence of hunger paradigm, that paradigm is known to correlate with measures capturing constructs very similar to RED (35). When questionnaire space is flexible, we recommend using the longer version of RED (RED-13), as it allows for the analysis of each subscale in explaining external behaviors. The abbreviated version described in this manuscript (RED-X5) provides only a rough estimate at the subscale level and is best used as a single score. Future research should examine the utility of RED-X5 in more diverse data sets and samples.

In conclusion, we have created RED-X5, a five-item, psychometrically sound measure of RRE. We intend for this measure to be used within large, nationally representative, and diverse studies, in which questionnaire space is limited, so that we could learn more about RRE at the population level.
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